#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### **PCT**

REC'D	2	9	AUG	2005
WIPO	_			PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
1041-005	International filing date (day	/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
International application No.	29 October 2004 (29.10.200		29 October 2003 (29.10.2003)			
PCT/US04/36296	or national classification and I	PC				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): G06 F 17/30 and US Cl.: 707/103R, 102, 200; 715/500, 513, 514						
	03K, 102, 200, 713/300, 313,					
Applicant						
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary						
Examining Authority under	er Article 35 and transmitte	ed to the applicant a	eccolume to Article 50.			
	f a total of $5$ sheets, inclu		et.			
	panied by ANNEXES, com		·			
a. (sent to the application	ant and to the International	Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
b. (sent to	the International Bureau	only) a total of (in	ndicate type and number of electronic			
			1			
carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indi	cations relating to the follow	wing items:				
	Basis of the report					
	Priority					
	Non-establishment of opinic applicability	on with regard to n	ovelty, inventive step and industrial			
	Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the interr	national application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the		international appli	ication			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	n of this report			
		17 August 2005 (17.08.2005)				
25 May 2005 (25.05.2005)  Name and mailing address of the IPE	A/ US	Authorized officer	Lesa Vion			
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US	3		A			
Commissioner for Patents		Greta Robinson				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145	50	Telephone No. (57	71) 272-4118			
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230						

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(January 2004)

International application No.	
PCT/US04/36296	

		The Call and the C			
Box No.	. I	Basis of the report			
1. With filed,	เมาใ	ard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ess otherwise indicated under this item.			
	Thi whi	s report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, ch is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))			
	$\equiv$	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)			
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
$\boxtimes$		international application as originally filed/furnished			
		description: 2es 1-46 as originally filed/furnished			
1					
		ges* NONE received by this Authority on ges* NONE received by this Authority on			
F-7					
		e claims:  ges 47-51 as originally filed/furnished			
ļ		ges 47-51 as originally filed/furnished ges* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19			
		ges* NONE received by this Authority on			
1		ges* NONE received by this Authority on			
		e drawings: ges <u>1/3-3/3</u> as originally filed/furnished			
1		received by this Authority on			
	pa	ges* NONE received by this Authority on			
	] a	sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.			
3. 🗵	] T	he amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
	D	the description, pages NONE			
	Š	the claims, Nos_NONE			
	, E	the drawings, sheets/figs NONE			
	<u> </u>	the sequence listing (specify): NONE			
1	L K	the sequence listing (specify): NONE  any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): NONE			
1	L	any table(s) related to the sequence insume (opensy).			
4.	] T	his report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, note they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
	٢	the description, pages			
	L F	the claims, Nos			
1	Ļ	the drawings, sheets/figs			
	ļ	the drawings, sneets/figs the sequence listing (specify):			
	ļ	the sequence listing (specify):			
	١	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):			
* If it	tem -	4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."			

International application No. PCT/US04/36296

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-36			YES
Monera (14)					NO
					YES
Inventive Step (IS)					NO
	Claims	1-30			
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-36			YES
••	Claims	NONE			ио

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US04/36296


In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

Supplemental Box

#### V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-36 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Ainsbury et al. US Patent 6,078,924 A in view of Young US Patent 6,038,567 A.

Regarding claim 1, Ainsbury teaches a system, comprising: a database storing a plurality of objects [note: data store 20; column 9 lines 50-67; Figure 1 element 20]; and

a computer-based document management module [note: column 22 lines 46-57; TABLE 2] adapted to:

create a source document comprising the plurality of documents [note: column 23 line 6 through column 24 line 12; TABLE 2

management rights]; and automatically and individually control a content a plurality of content attributes, a usuage permission, and a distributed permission of each of a plurality of objects in each of multiple documents derived from the source document [column 23 line 6 through column 24 line 12; TABLE 2 management rights].

Although Ainsbury et al. teaches the invention substantially as cited above, they do not explicitly teach individual content control. Young teaches a desktop publishing software program which includes content-defining and format-defining object properties [note: abstract; column 3 lines 1-24; column 6 lines 1-22; also note column 10 lines 45-67; column 11 lines 20-64; and column 13 liges 1-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have combined Young with Ainsbury et al. because the ability to define the content would enhance the flexibility and access control of the system.

Regarding claims 2-13, wherein said document management module is further adapted to define a content ... prevent modification ... publish the source document ... propragate the content ... [note: Young teaches content-defining and object propagation note abstract and column 11 line 65 through column 12 line 24; see Figure 8 step 814 regarding publication of document].

Regarding claims 14 and 19-22, wherein each of the plurality of objects is stored only once in said database ... wherein the plurality of objects comprises a text object ... graphical object ... [note: Ainsbury et al., column 10 lines 1-22; column 29 lines 48-60; and column 34 lines 24-37].

Regarding claims 15-18, wherein the plurality of content attributes comprises content formatting information ... document structure information ... [note: Young, abstract format-defining object properties, column 6 lines 22-36; column 14 lines 45-62].

The limitations of claims 23-36 parallel system claims 1-22; therefore they are rejected under the same rationale.

International application No. PCT/US04/36296

Supplemental Box						
In the response filed April 28, 2005, Applicant argued the following: (1) Ainsbury et al. and Young do not teach "automatically and individually controlling each of a plurality of objects in each of multiple documents derived from the source document" as cited in independent claims 1, 23 and 26. (2) There in no motivation to combine the cited references. (3) Neither Ainsbury et al. nor Young teach dependent claims 2-4, 8-13, 17, 18, 21, 24-26, 30-32 and 34.  In response to Applicants remarks, the examiner respectfully maintains the rejection. Note Ainsbury et al. teaches an information platform that integrates structured and unstructured information from sources into visual applications. The information platform consists of componets that aid in information gathering [col. 10 lines 50-67; Figure 1]. Young was cited because he teaches a desktop publishing software program which includes content-defining and format-defining object properties [note: abstract; column 3 lines 1-24; column 6 lines 1-22; also note column 10 lines 45-67; column 11 lines 20-64; and column 13 lines 1-24]. Young's ability to define the content enhances Ainsbury et al's ability to control content for multiple methods of information; also user-defined content provides the user the ability to customize the system. Regarding dependent claims 2-13 Young et al. teaches content-defining and object propagation note abstract and column 11 line 65 through column 12 line 24; see Figure 8 step 814 regarding publication of document. Regarding claims 17, 18 and 21 wherein the plurality of content attributes comprises content formatting information and graphical object note Young teaches format-defining object properties see abstract also note column 6 lines 22-36 and column 14 lines 45-62.						
NEW CITATIONS						